

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES

APRIL 12, 2022

At a Regular Meeting of the Orange County Board of Supervisors held on Tuesday, April 12, 2022, beginning at 5:00 p.m., in the Meeting Room of the Public Safety Building, 11282 Government Center Drive, Orange, Virginia. Present: R. Mark Johnson, Chairman; James P. Crozier, Vice Chairman; James K. White; Keith F. Marshall; and Lee H. Frame. Also present: Theodore L. Voorhees, County Administrator; Thomas E. Lacheney, County Attorney; and Alyson A. Simpson, Chief Deputy Clerk.

RE: ADOPTION OF AGENDA

On the motion of Mr. Frame, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the agenda, as modified. Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND APPEARANCES

RE: BUSINESS SPOTLIGHT

Michele Lunsford, Owner of Unionville Florist, appeared before the Board to spotlight her business.

The Board thanked Ms. Lunsford for her presentation.

RE: PRESENTATION OF THE PROCLAMATION FOR NATIONAL CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION MONTH

Vice Chairman Crozier read the Proclamation for National Child Abuse Prevention Month and presented a signed, framed copy to Alisha Vines, Office on Youth Director.

RE: PRESENTATION OF THE WINNERS OF THE TAKE DOWN TOBACCO DAY CONTEST

Ashley Jacobs, Grant Program Coordinator, presented the winners of the Take Down Tobacco Day Contest and their video campaigns against the use of tobacco products, specifically flavored tobacco. The winners were Nicholas Edelman (12th Grade, OCHS); John Mason Vines (12th Grade, OCHS); and Julia Painter (8th Grade, PHMS, not in attendance).

The Board congratulated the contest winners.

RE: PRESENTATION FROM CHAIRMAN JOHNSON

Chairman Johnson presented plaques to Supervisors James P. Crozier, James K. White, and Lee H. Frame to commemorate their years of service as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

On the motion of Mr. Frame, seconded by Mr. Crozier, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the Consent Agenda, as presented.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: FY22 BUDGET AMENDMENTS (SUPPLEMENTALS AND TRANSFERS)

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board approved the following budget amendments, as presented:

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	PREVIOUS BUDGET	BUDGET CHANGE	AMENDED BUDGET
30030002-33625	Donations - Triad	\$ (14,866.06)	(1,500.00)	(16,366.06)
43177001-46000	Office Supplies	10,189.00	1,500.00	11,689.00
30045004-33250	Miscellaneous	0.00	(1,578.00)	(1,578.00)
43120006-46800	Forfeited Asset Expenses	28,579.00	1,578.00	30,157.00
30030006-33500	Donations - Animal Shelter	(17,814.00)	(894.00)	(18,708.00)
43520003-43115	Prof. Serv. - Emergency Vet	161,825.00	894.00	162,719.00
49310006-47740 C1025	Contribution to FiberLync	0.00	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00
30051003-39290	Trans. from COVID-19 Fund	0.00	(1,000,000.00)	(1,000,000.00)
43551006-45900	Contingency	2,673,867.00	(1,000,000.00)	1,673,867.00
49310015-47312	Trans. to Cty. Capital Project	0.00	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00
30033507-33250	Miscellaneous	(100.00)	(2,000.00)	(2,100.00)
43231011-46015	Computer Software	25,000.00	2,000.00	27,000.00
30033505-33100	Fundraiser - OOH	0.00	(4,918.00)	(4,918.00)
45370101-46000	Office Supplies	2,000.00	418.00	2,418.00
45374201-46803	Donated Program Expenses	358.00	4,500.00	4,858.00
30033505-33101	Donations - School Supplies	0.00	(1,000.00)	(1,000.00)
45370101-46806	School Supplies Program	0.00	1,000.00	1,000.00
30033505-33105	Vending Machine Proceeds	0.00	(318.00)	(318.00)
45370101-43300	Vending Items for Resale	0.00	318.00	318.00
30033505-33250	VFHY Miscellaneous	0.00	(500.00)	(500.00)
45374201-46803	Donated Program Expenses	358.00	500.00	858.00
30033506-33250	Michael's Gift Donations	0.00	(1,621.00)	(1,621.00)
45370102-46800	Michael's Gift Expenses	13,367.00	1,621.00	14,988.00
30033515-31675	GBES - Fundraising	0.00	(101.00)	(101.00)
45342102-46800	GBES Sp. Evts. Expenses	0.00	101.00	101.00
30033515-31750	OES - Fundraising	0.00	(101.00)	(101.00)
45342202-46800	OES Sp. Evts. Expenses	0.00	101.00	101.00
30033515-31785	LGES - Fundraising	0.00	(101.00)	(101.00)
45342302-46800	LGES Childcare Expenses	0.00	101.00	101.00
30033515-31797	LES - Fundraising	0.00	(101.00)	(101.00)
45342402-46800	LES Childcare Expenses	0.00	101.00	101.00
30033505-33230	DSS - Strthg. Families Grant	0.00	(5,750.00)	(5,750.00)
45374101-46800	Program Expenses	5,750.00	5,750.00	11,500.00
TOTALS		\$ 2,888,512.94	\$ 0.00	\$ 2,888,512.94

RE: FY22 BUDGET TRANSFER TO ALLOCATE WAGE ADJUSTMENTS

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board approved the FY22 budget transfers to allocate the wage adjustment reserves, as presented.

RE: RENEWAL OF THE CONTRACTS FOR GENERAL ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board authorized staff to renew the professional engineering contracts with Draper Aden Associates, RK&K, Timmons Group, Wiley|Wilson, EPR, SDI, and The Thrasher Group for a period of one (1) year, representing the first of four (4) possible renewals as presented.

RE: ADOPTION OF THE MARCH 22, 2022 WORKSESSION MINUTES

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board adopted the March 22, 2022 Worksession minutes, as presented.

RE: ADOPTION OF THE MARCH 22, 2022 REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board adopted the March 22, 2022 Regular Meeting minutes, as presented.

RE: NEW BUSINESS

RE: RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE CHICKEN MOUNTAIN ROAD AS RURAL RUSTIC

Alyson Simpson, Chief Deputy Clerk, explained that the Board previously added a portion of Chicken Mountain Road (Route 639) to the Secondary Six-Year Plan as a Rural Rustic project. She noted that a Rural Rustic project, also referred to as a pave-in-place project, was a practical approach to paving a low volume road while also re-establishing ditches and shoulders and leaving undisturbed, to the maximum extent possible, all trees, vegetation, and slopes. Ms. Simpson indicated that this concept ensured environmental and financial stewardship while still providing basic paved access along a rural road. She stated that because Chicken Mountain Road had already been added to the Secondary Six-Year Plan, VDOT staff was requesting the Board's consideration of the required resolution to designate the road as a Rural Rustic Road.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a roll call vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the following resolution, as presented:

RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE CHICKEN MOUNTAIN ROAD (ROUTE 639),
LOCATED IN ORANGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA,
AS A VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RURAL RUSTIC ROAD

WHEREAS, Section 33.2-332 of the Code of Virginia permits the hard surfacing of certain unpaved roads deemed to qualify for designation as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, any such road must be located in a low-density development area and have no more than 1,500 vehicles per day; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County, Virginia desires to consider whether Route 639 (Chicken Mountain Road) should be designated as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, the Board is unaware of pending development that would significantly affect the existing traffic on this road; and

WHEREAS, the Board believes this road should be so designated because of its qualifying characteristics; and

WHEREAS, this road is in the Board's Six-Year Plan for Improvements to the Secondary System of State Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on this 12th day of April, 2022, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby designates Route 639 (Chicken Mountain Road) as a Rural Rustic Road, and requests that the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation concur in this designation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests this road be hard surfaced and, to the fullest extent prudent, be improved within the existing right-of-way and ditch-lines to preserve as much as possible the adjacent trees, vegetation, side slopes, and rural rustic character along the road in its current state; and

BE IT YET FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE GREENWOOD ROAD AS RURAL RUSTIC

Alyson Simpson, Chief Deputy Clerk, explained that the Board previously added a portion of Greenwood Road (Route 635) to the Secondary Six-Year Plan as a Rural Rustic project. She noted that a Rural Rustic project, also referred to as a pave-in-place project, was a practical approach to paving a low volume road while also re-establishing ditches and shoulders and leaving undisturbed, to the maximum extent possible, all trees, vegetation, and slopes. Ms. Simpson indicated that this concept ensured environmental and financial stewardship while still providing basic paved access along a rural road. She stated that because Greenwood Road had already been added to the Secondary Six-Year Plan, VDOT staff was requesting the Board's consideration of the required resolution to designate the road as a Rural Rustic Road.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a roll call vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the following resolution, as presented:

RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE GREENWOOD ROAD (ROUTE 635),
LOCATED IN ORANGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA,
AS A VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RURAL RUSTIC ROAD

WHEREAS, Section 33.2-332 of the Code of Virginia permits the hard surfacing of certain unpaved roads deemed to qualify for designation as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, any such road must be located in a low-density development area and have no more than 1,500 vehicles per day; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County, Virginia desires to consider whether Route 635 (Greenwood Road) should be designated as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, the Board is unaware of pending development that would significantly affect the existing traffic on this road; and

WHEREAS, the Board believes this road should be so designated because of its qualifying characteristics; and

WHEREAS, this road is in the Board's Six-Year Plan for Improvements to the Secondary System of State Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on this 12th day of April, 2022, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby designates Route 635 (Greenwood Road) as a Rural Rustic Road, and requests that the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation concur in this designation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests this road be hard surfaced and, to the fullest extent prudent, be improved within the existing right-of-way and ditch-lines to preserve as much as possible the adjacent trees, vegetation, side slopes, and rural rustic character along the road in its current state; and

BE IT YET FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE JONES MILL ROAD AS RURAL RUSTIC

Alyson Simpson, Chief Deputy Clerk, explained that the Board previously added a portion of Jones Mill Road (Route 635) to the Secondary Six-Year Plan as a Rural Rustic project. She noted that a Rural Rustic project, also referred to as a pave-in-place project, was a practical approach to paving a low volume road while also re-establishing ditches and shoulders and leaving undisturbed, to the maximum extent possible, all trees, vegetation, and slopes. Ms. Simpson indicated that this concept ensured environmental and financial stewardship while still providing basic paved access along a rural road. She stated that because Jones Mill Road had already been added to the Secondary Six-Year Plan, VDOT staff was requesting the Board's consideration of the required resolution to designate the road as a Rural Rustic Road.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a roll call vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the following resolution, as presented:

RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE JONES MILL ROAD (ROUTE 635),
LOCATED IN ORANGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA,
AS A VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RURAL RUSTIC ROAD

WHEREAS, Section 33.2-332 of the Code of Virginia permits the hard surfacing of certain unpaved roads deemed to qualify for designation as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, any such road must be located in a low-density development area and have no more than 1,500 vehicles per day; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County, Virginia desires to consider whether Route 635 (Jones Mill Road) should be designated as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, the Board is unaware of pending development that would significantly affect the existing traffic on this road; and

WHEREAS, the Board believes this road should be so designated because of its qualifying characteristics; and

WHEREAS, this road is in the Board's Six-Year Plan for Improvements to the Secondary System of State Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on this 12th day of April, 2022, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby designates Route 635 (Jones Mill Road) as a Rural Rustic Road, and requests that the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation concur in this designation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests this road be hard surfaced and, to the fullest extent prudent, be improved within the existing right-of-way and ditch-lines to preserve as much as possible the adjacent trees, vegetation, side slopes, and rural rustic character along the road in its current state; and

BE IT YET FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE BUSHY MOUNTAIN ROAD AS RURAL RUSTIC

Alyson Simpson, Chief Deputy Clerk, explained that the Board previously added a portion of Bushy Mountain Road (Route 626) to the Secondary Six-Year Plan as a Rural Rustic project. She noted that a Rural Rustic project, also referred to as a pave-in-place project, was a practical approach to paving a low volume road while also re-establishing ditches and shoulders and leaving undisturbed, to the maximum extent possible, all trees, vegetation, and slopes. Ms. Simpson indicated that this concept ensured environmental and financial stewardship while still providing basic paved access along a rural road. She stated that because Bushy Mountain Road had already been added to the Secondary Six-Year Plan, VDOT staff was requesting the Board's consideration of the required resolution to designate the road as a Rural Rustic Road.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a roll call vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the following resolution, as presented:

RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE BUSHY MOUNTAIN ROAD (ROUTE 626),
LOCATED IN ORANGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA,
AS A VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RURAL RUSTIC ROAD

WHEREAS, Section 33.2-332 of the Code of Virginia permits the hard surfacing of certain unpaved roads deemed to qualify for designation as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, any such road must be located in a low-density development area and have no more than 1,500 vehicles per day; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County, Virginia desires to consider whether Route 626 (Bushy Mountain Road) should be designated as a Rural Rustic Road; and

WHEREAS, the Board is unaware of pending development that would significantly affect the existing traffic on this road; and

WHEREAS, the Board believes this road should be so designated because of its qualifying characteristics; and

WHEREAS, this road is in the Board's Six-Year Plan for Improvements to the Secondary System of State Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on this 12th day of April, 2022, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby designates Route 626 (Bushy Mountain Road) as a Rural Rustic Road, and requests that the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation concur in this designation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests this road be hard surfaced and, to the fullest extent prudent, be improved within the existing right-of-way and ditch-lines to preserve as much as possible the adjacent trees, vegetation, side slopes, and rural rustic character along the road in its current state; and

BE IT YET FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors requests a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

- RE: OLD BUSINESS
There were no matters for Old Business at this time.
- RE: DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR / CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER REPORTS
There were no Department Director or Constitutional Officer Reports at this time.
- RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY'S REPORT
The County Attorney had nothing to report on at this time.
- RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT
The County Administrator had nothing to report on at this time.
- RE: BOARD COMMENT
There was no Board Comment at this time.
- RE: INFORMATIONAL ITEMS
There were no Informational Items at this time.
- RE: APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND COMMITTEES
There were no appointments at this time.
- RE: CALENDAR
The Board received copies of its calendar of meetings for the months of April 2022, May 2022, and June 2022.
- RE: SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING FOR SUP 22-01 (SWEET SPRING SOLAR, LLC)
By consensus, the Board authorized staff to advertise for and schedule a Public Hearing for SUP 22-01 on Tuesday, May 10, 2022, as presented.
- RE: SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING FOR REZ 22-01 (COMPLETE CARE OF VA)
By consensus, the Board authorized staff to advertise for and schedule a Public Hearing for REZ 22-01 on Tuesday, May 10, 2022, as presented.
- RE: SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING FOR A DEED OF RELEASE
By consensus, the Board authorized staff to advertise for and schedule a Public Hearing for a Deed of Release for the Rutt Property on Tuesday, May 10, 2022, as presented.
- RE: PUBLIC HEARING #1; STA 21-01
David Smitherman, Interim Planning and Development Services Director, presented the staff report regarding proposed amendments to the Orange County Subdivision Ordinance to amend the private road standards relative to construction standards and previously platted lots, which had been initiated by the Board. He reviewed the proposed language, indicating that the Planning Commission's vote resulted in a recommendation of approval with several modifications.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: why a definition for "served" needed to be considered by the Planning Commission at an additional public hearing.

At 5:33 p.m., Chairman Johnson called the Public Hearing to order to receive comments on the following:

STA 21-01

The Board of Supervisors will consider an amendment to Chapter 54 (Subdivision) of the Orange County Code of Ordinances, specifically to Sections 54-121 and 54-123. The purpose of said amendment is to modify the private road standards relative to construction standards and previously platted lots.

The following individuals spoke:

- Lee Baines, 9261 Stonegate Lane, Rhoadesville
- Tony Wilson, 27388 Mine Run Road, Rhoadesville
- Shannon Hudson, 14114 Little Zion Road, Orange
- Kent Higginbotham, 150 Blue Ridge Drive, Orange
- Joshua Williams 27000 Fine Creek Lane, Rhoadesville

There being no further speakers, Chairman Johnson closed the Public Hearing at 5:57 p.m.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the purpose of the proof roll, warranty, and third party inspection requirements; options for financial protection in the event of road failure; the concern for impact on family divisions and the fact there were separate regulations for family divisions; protection through the required road maintenance agreements; the fact that the current road standards would be greatly relaxed with this amendment; determining factors for releasing the road bonds; the idea that costs were being added to the construction process; the desire to send the amendments back to the Planning Commission if changes were made to the bond and third party inspection requirements; alternatives to third party inspections; establishing the length of the road warranty; and the desire to consider options for changes to the amendments.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board deferred action on this matter until the Regular Meeting on April 26, 2022, in order to consider changes to the proposed amendments.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING #2; PROPOSED TAX RATES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2022

Theodore L. Voorhees, County Administrator, reminded the Board of its previous action to authorize the advertising of a Public Hearing for the proposed tax rates for Calendar Year 2022. He reviewed a brief PowerPoint Presentation highlighting the proposed tax rates, as advertised.

At 6:52 p.m., Chairman Johnson called the Public Hearing to order to receive comments on the Proposed Tax Rates for Calendar Year 2022.

There being no speakers, Chairman Johnson closed the Public Hearing at 6:52 p.m.

Action on this Public Hearing was deferred until the Regular Meeting on April 26, 2022.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING #3; PROPOSED BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023

Theodore L. Voorhees, County Administrator, reminded the Board of its previous action to authorize the advertising of a Public Hearing for the proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2023. He reviewed a brief PowerPoint Presentation highlighting the proposed budget, as advertised.

At 6:53 p.m., Chairman Johnson called the Public Hearing to order to receive comments on the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2023.

The following individual spoke:

- Ann Kloeckner, Representative of Legal Aid Works

There being no further speakers, Chairman Johnson closed the Public Hearing at 6:58 p.m.

Supervisor White requested consideration to include \$3,000,000 in excess General Fund balance in the Fiscal Year 2023 Budget for continuation of the Broadband network construction process.

Action on this Public Hearing was deferred until the Regular Meeting on April 26, 2022.

RE: CLOSED MEETING

At 7:01 p.m., Mr. Lacheney read the following motion authorizing Closed Meeting:

WHEREAS, the Orange County Board of Supervisors desired to discuss in Closed Meeting the following matters:

- Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body concerning threatened E&S control litigation. - §2.2-3711(A)(7) of the Code of Virginia
- Consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by the public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel concerning SUP 12-02, Twin Lakes subdivision, Germanna Heights, and the Town of Orange. - §2.2-3711(A)(8) of the Code of Virginia

WHEREAS, pursuant to §§2.2-3711 (A)(7) and (A)(8) of the Code of Virginia, such discussions may occur in Closed Meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby authorized discussion of the aforesated matters in Closed Meeting.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. Frame, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the resolution authorizing Closed Meeting, as presented. Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING

At 8:22 p.m., Mr. Voorhees read the following resolution certifying Closed Meeting:

WHEREAS, the Orange County Board of Supervisors has, this day, adjourned into Closed Meeting in accordance with a formal vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, the Freedom of Information Act requires certification that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with the law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby certified that, to the best of each member's knowledge, i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were discussed in the Closed Meeting to which this certification applied, and ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the said Closed Meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered by it.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: ADJOURN

On the motion of Mr. Frame, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adjourned the meeting at 8:23 p.m. Ayes: Johnson, White, Marshall, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

R. Mark Johnson, Chairman

Theodore L. Voorhees, County Administrator